

ID 6-13 1003  
C-5

**Gebhardt, Chris**

---

**From:** Gebhardt, Chris  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 25, 2013 1:31 PM  
**To:** Helder, Dirk  
**Cc:** jill\_olson@fws.gov; Davis, Diane  
**Subject:** FW: FW: February OLSB -DMR

Good Afternoon Dirk,

Jill is proposing to adjust the at least 90% removal for TSS from the OLSB under the cold water permit. Dworshak has told me repeatedly that a clean OLSB effluent makes achieving the 90% very difficult, although Dworshak easily achieves the numeric values.

Chris

---

**From:** Olson, Jill [[mailto:jill\\_olson@fws.gov](mailto:jill_olson@fws.gov)]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 25, 2013 11:58 AM  
**To:** Davis, Diane  
**Cc:** Gebhardt, Chris  
**Subject:** Re: FW: February OLSB -DMR

Greetings to you both Diane and Chris,

The calculation I made was (TSS OLSB influent - TSS OLSB effluent) divided by TSS OLSB influent X 100.

$[(0.05 - 2.86) \text{ divided by } 0.05] \times 100 = -5620$

The problem is the low TSS influent; the effluent is still FAR below the permitted 67 mg/l !  
Might I be so bold as to recommend changing the permit and avoiding this calculation when the TSS gross is less than the permitted discharge level?

Just a thought!!  
Thank you both.

On Thu, Apr 25, 2013 at 9:03 AM, Davis, Diane <[Davis.Diane@epa.gov](mailto:Davis.Diane@epa.gov)> wrote:

Hi Chris,

Would you please help Jill.

The permit limit for Solid, suspended percent removal is 90.0 Minimum.

The value reported on NetDMR is >=-5620

If you could check through the calculations before I contact NetDMR, I would appreciate it.

Thank you.

Diane

I have included the screen shot for the parameter coding for 81011K and also what was entered in NetDMR (further down the page).